G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

19D 2-c 3

(Miscellaneous)

File No. 25

Serial Nos. 2-75

Papers Filed.

Month March 1906.

SUBJECT.

directing That the Sri Ramaswamy Temple in the Kudlur, Sankaranayanallur Village, Chennampatti Taluk & its endowments be taken over under the direct management of Government in the Muzrai department.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES.

No 292
En 1

H.L. memo Sl 2

The accompanying pet. No. ~~85~~ 345 dy 19th August 1898, received at the Dewan's office, from Mr. K. Shamiengar Executor of the estate of late Mandar S. Venkata ramiengar, stating that the services in the Sri Ramadevaru temple at the Sarvamanyam village of Kudlur in the Chemnapatna Taluk are being much neglected owing to the indifference of the Vrittidars of the village, and praying that the temple & its endowments may be taken over under the direct management of the Muzrai

Department, is forwarded

to the Deputy Comr. Bangalore

District, with a request to report upon the /

~~that he will be so good~~

~~as to favour this Office~~ with

his opinion ~~thereon~~. as to the ~~Steps~~ arrangements that may be

made for the better management of the

Temple..

Draft.

By order,

A S

Asst. Secy.

A M S

No 292

Encl

J 31. 8. 98.

MUZRAI

189

From

To

The Dy: Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated ~~30th Sept.~~ 1899

Despatched 2/10/99

Received

General No. 362

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

This Office No. 292
dy 31st August 1898 —
forwarding for report
a petition ~~no 365 of 1898~~
1899 from Mr K. Ramaiyer
~~&c~~, Executor to the
estate of late Inamdar
S. Venkatarangiengar,
praying that the Sri
Ramadevaru temple
at the Sarvamanyam
village of Kudlur in
the Chennapatna Taluk
and A enclosures

be taken over under the
direct management of
the Muzrai Depth.

---"---

Has the honor to -
draw attention to the
above and to request that the
~~the to expedite the~~
submission of the -
report called for ~~in the above~~ Therein may be expedited

"By order"

Secy: id



Camp Kudlur
4th April 900

To

K Shanmugier Esq

Enclosure to the bill of late
S Venkatarungiengar
Inamdar of Kudlur Lowanna
village Chunchi
Taluk Bangalore &c

To

The Huzzerary Secretary
to Govt

Sir

Since two years I am addressing you
last about Govt taking over the
management of three Ramdavaroo
temple at this village but no orders
have been made up to date I therefore
have the honor to remind you on the
subject. For want of care and interest
on the part of Inamdars the temple
affairs are getting into disorder and
I therefore beg that early orders may be
passed on the subject

I have the honor
to be
Your most obedient
servant
Kallanna

		140	0	0
	11 - 4 - 3			
	3 - 8 - 0			
	30 - 0 - 0	44	12	3
		36	15	5
	45 - 0 - 0			
	34 - 8 - 0			
	7 - 3 - 4			
	1 - 14 - 0			
	1 - 14 - 0			
	1 - 8 - 0			
	0 - 12 - 0			
	0 - 9 - 0			
	0 - 7 - 0			
	0 - 6 - 0			
		24	15	4
	3 - 4 - 0			
	0 - 7 - 0			
	3 - 8 - 0			
	1 - 8 - 0			
	8 - 12 - 0			
	10 - 0 - 0			

	०-४-३
	०-६-१
	१२-०-०
	४-४-०
	०-४-१/२
	३२-०-०
	१५-०-०
	७२-०-०
	०-१२-०
	४-१/२-०
	७-०-०
	०-२१-०
	०-७-१
	०-०-१
	०-५-१
	१-४-८
	०-१०-०
	०-९-०
	०-४-४
	०-७-१
	०-४-१
	०-४-०
	०-१४-०
	७-९-०४
	०-४-०
	०-५-०
	३-०-०
	४-७-०
	४-७-०

Kbhanuchi

Urgent

189.

From

THE MUZRAI SECRETARY TO THE
DEWAN OF MYSORE.

To

The Dy Commr
Bangalore Distt

Dated
Despatched 3rd May 1900
Received

General No. 1170
Muzrai No.
Enclosure No.

This Office No. 292 ~~v 362~~ dated
~~the 18~~ 31st August 1899
~~and 2nd Oct 1899~~,
~~forwarding for report a~~
~~petition~~ from Mr K.
Thimmiyar regarding
the management of the
Ramaswamy temple at-
and subsequent reminder marginally noted.

K.

Kudlur in the Channa-
-patna Taluk

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply.

By Order,

Dft-

Muzrai Secretary

Dft- no 362 dt 2nd Oct 1899.

1890/2 SLH

FROM
M. S. Narayana Rao Esqre
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist

To
A. Anantaswami Rao Esqr
Dy Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue Dept.

Dated 19. August 1901

Despatched 20.

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.



The Dy Secretary's No. 292 of 31st August 1898.

States the proposal of Mr Shamanayya Nayaka of some wells in the Kadkar village of Chennapatna Taluk to take over the management [illegible]

submitted

The Dy Comr may be asked to obtain and submit original Samvad report in the Vernacular records—

& And the long delay 3/9/01 in the submission of this report (3 years) may also be pointed out.

9/9/01

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 19th August 1901.

From,

M. S. Narayan Rao Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To,

L. Anantakrishna Rao Esq.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Huzoor Dept.

Sir,

With reference to your
Memo No 2392 dated 31st August
1901, regarding the proposal of
Mr Shamiengar, Manager of
[illegible] in the Kadlur
village, Channapatna Talook,
to take over the management
of the Endowment lands
attached to, I have the honor

5 stake as follows :. —

2. The temple enjoys a cash Tasdik of ~~Rs~~ 11-10-0 per annum and is thus one in the manage-ment which the Inam Department can equitably take a part. Seventy out of the ~~72~~ 72 villagers have also signified ~~their~~ Consent to the management being taken over as proposed by Mr Shanmugam. I beg, therefore that the question of Government be accorded to the management being thus taken over and the sum of Rupees 40/= assigned to the temple in the Inam village being levied from ~~it~~ the land owners as Local-Fund and Irrigation cess are levied.

3. The temple has a Revenue of Rs 204-0-0 as set in the below. —

Cash Tasdik 11-10-0

Assignment from the Inam Village } 140-0-0

Realizations from the temple Landed } 22-6-10

Garden realizations 30-0-0

Total Rs 204-0-10

The scale of expenditure to be adopted requires some consideration and I shall submit proposals on this point on receipt of orders of Government.

The accounts & papers on the subject are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

C R Cullamanchilliat

for Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

SLS

To

The Dy Commr

Bangalore District

Dated 11th September 1907,

No. 418

His Docket-no D 223

dated 19th August-1907,

submitting his proposals

regarding the management

of the Inam Villages granted

to the Sri Rameshwara

Temple at- Kudlur, Chinna-

-patna Taluk, being taken over

by the Mysore Govt:

Has the honour to

request that the original

Sanad

referred to in the Memorandum

& Records may be obtained

and sent to this Office

for reference —

It is to be very much

regretted that there has

been inordinate delay in

the submission of the report

by the Dy Coroner.

By order

Offg

14/9/09

Dy Secretary

for

FROM The Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To 816

The Secy. to the
Govt. of Mysore in
Revenue Department.

Dated — January —

Despatched

Received

General No.

S No. 611

Enclosure No. 2.

I have the honour to forward
herewith a petition,
dated 2nd February 1911,
submitted to me by the Sundaresa
Iyer Ramaswamy Iyer and
Subbaraya Iyer Ramaswamy Iyer
of Kasturi Village in Malur Taluk
of this District praying for the
grant of the Inam village
of Kasturi in Malur Taluk
of this District, which was
granted to their father
Venkatarama Iyer Ramaswamy Iyer
by Her Late Majesty Victoria
Empress of India on the
recommendation of the
Inam Commissioner

E. Narayanarao Esqr
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

Amanta Rama Rao Esqr.
Asst to the Clerk of the
Executive Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket
No. 118 of 11th September 1911, calling for the
transmission of the original Inam grants
to the Inamdars of the Kuthur village in the
Channapatna Taluk, I have the honour to forwa
herewith a copy & the enumeration of the original
grant for the Committee. The order of His Highness
the Hon. Maharajah Krishnaraja Wodeyar
Bahadur to the Inam Commissioner in
investigating into the validity of Inam grants

I have the honor to be

Your most obedient servant

[illegible]

Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

ಸಂಪುಟ ೨೧ ಸಂಚಿಕೆ ೧೦ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ೨೦೨೫

ಕಾಡಿನ ಅಂಚಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹಳೆಯ ಕಲ್ಲಿನ ಮನೆಯೊಂದಿದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಮುದುಕಿ ಒಂಟಿಯಾಗಿ ವಾಸಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಅವಳ ಹೆಸರು ಯಾರಿಗೂ ತಿಳಿದಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಊರಿನವರು ಅವಳನ್ನು ಕರೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದುದು ಕೇವಲ ಅಜ್ಜಿ ಎಂದು ಮಾತ್ರ.

To Sl 7

The Dy Inam Supt-

in Mysore

Dated 4th November 1902.

R° 989 D 12-11 1902.

Mar 705-02

Has the honour to

request to be furnished

with a copy of the Inam

Register pertaining to the

grant of Kundhur Agrar

in the Chinnapatna Taluk

as Enamamyam.

By

11/11/02

Dy Supt

Sr

1902-1903

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To Deputy

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
~~GEN. & REV. DEPTS.~~
Inzrap Department

Dated 21st
Despatched 21st } November 1902
Received

No. 37 of 1902—903

Enclosure No. 1.

His docket No. 989 dated 12th Instant. Mun 725-02

As requested in the above, has the honor to forward herewith a copy of Settlement Register relating to the gram of Suvamanya Koodloor Agrahar late Closepet now Chennapatam Taluk

Supt to of Inams

Register sheet No 78

Taluk = Bangarpet

Hobli — Mulbagal

Village = Koodloor Agrahar S. M.

Class extent, and value of Inam

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey number and name of fields or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet, or Garden	Extent: Local measure Even where the local measure is in acres — Guntas and annas, it is to be entered in this Column.	Extent: Remarks in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5
1	Personal		Taluk Canvanishi account of 1808.	
(Revised Final Title Deed No 1070)			Total extent of the village K. K. P 399-15-1 3/4	
(Title Deed No 701)			Deduct extent of Jain Inams. Dry K. K. P 9-2-4 4/15 + Wet K. K. P 30-4-5 = 39-6-9 4/16	
Title Deed No 59871 Date of prep= 1-4-80 Date of issue To Taluk.			Balance 360-8-8 4/16	
			Particulars	
			Dry	
			Village site — 2-5-10 3/16	
			Cordanboke — 20-16-4 4/16	
			Cultivated — 27-2-9 5/16	
			Total — 50-4-7 9/16	
			Wet	
			Poramboke — 2-10-14 1/4	
			Cultivated — 307-13-2 1/4	
			Total — 310-4-0 1/2	
			Grand Total 360-8-8 1/16.	

Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Land for service, if to be stated whether the same is continuing. After Enclosure, Cultivation &c. Whether they are subject to Tithe, and if so, by what Agreement or Modus	Whether free of Tax &c or liable to Rent Rent, £ s d per Annum, Date of Lease, Letter to be entered	By whom Granted, and on what Year.
When no survey has been made the assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the Ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of Similar Govt. Land shall be entered in col. 6				
6	7	8	9	10
Total Beriz as per Survey Account 1831-32				
Deduct Beriz on gairi Lands				
Balance				
For the personal benefit of the Vrittidars.				
			Free	
From this Cole Reclaimed the Barakgeet				

Joseph Dal

in support of the Inam.

Written instruments in support of the claim, original & summd, all miscellaneous documents and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant is produced or lost &c, and the general specification & terms of all documents produced should be given.	Name of one pleader or each Inam	Joins Singlachar and 24 others.
11	12	

1 Sheroop (original produced) from His Late Highness the Maharajah dated 14th July 1827, to the Amildar of the late Chennapatna Taluk informing him of the grant of Kudlur village assessed at C 1190-a 15/2 in gift to 25 Brahmins and directing him to make over the village to them.

Note

For each Brhamin a separate Summd and deed of gift was given by His Highness in which power of alienation was allowed. There is also a duplicate Summd for Yejmaan. As there are several things enumerated in each document which cannot be abstracted here they will be forwarded in original for the perusal of the Inam Superintendent.

Name entered in the Register prepared by Poornaiah upto 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
13	14
Taluk Enumerish of 1808 Vide column 4	Hunur Surva Inam village account of 1899 to 1832.

Kempa Ranga emba Agrahar — 1

Vrittis — 24

Kudur Asli — 1

Dakhali — 1

Doddakere — 1

Kadi kale — 1

Kutte — 1

p. 7 v

Total Beriz — 2076 - 3 - 15½

Particulars

Kadeem beriz — 1963 - 1 — 1

jasti beriz — 123 - 2 - 14½

2076 - 3 - 15½

Particulars of jasti

Kurgapode Sayer — 75-5-4

Chillur jasti Ranga 6-8-4

Sendi Sharab — 20-7-0

Ali paimas — 20-2-6½

123 - 2 - 14½

Deduct jari Inams — 86-3-0

Balance — 1990-0-15½

Names of the Vrittidars.

1 Sannikha Vidvansa joi's Singalachar

2 Armane prohit Lingabhatta

3 Kellur Rungachar.

Continued 14 Column.

4. Kellur Vedantachar.
5. Gowrie Atmachar
6. Soreja Narayana jois
7. Subraya Jois
8. Lodursaachar
9. Venkat Varachar
10. Couh' Rghvachar-
11. Gowah Sreenivasachar
12. Korambi Sreenivasachar
13. Anantampully Srirunga Ramanujachar
14. Devanhully Venkatachar.
15. Do —— Veer Rathvachar
16. Subbaraachar
17. Atgrahar Sreenivasachar
18. Tirumale Tatachar.
19. Ramanjiachar
20. Krishtuppa
21. Karayanashastry——
22. Rungachary——
23. Venkatabhattachar
24. Palliya Ramajois

Huzur Surva Suam hyffit
petty of 1838.

As in the account quoted above

Continued Column 14.

but details for jari Inams shewn as noted below.

jari Inams — — 86—3—0

Particulars

1 Personal — 35-6-8

2 Hakeer Inam 10-0-0

Issum-1

3. Coliga Inam 40 6-8

viz

1 Shanbogues 2

3-3-0

2. Talwara 1

1—8—0

3. Totiès — 4

7—5—0

4. Neeraguntees 4

7—5—0

5. Palliquer Issum 1

6—0—0

Kerebunde Kona 6

14-5—0

Total 40-6-8.

Name and relationship of original grantee and

Particulars regarding present owner... If each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original or grantee or subsequent Registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent
15	16	17
Madras		
1. Gonnathum Gunja Narsinhachar — 13 V.I	Adopted grandson of No 1 in Column 14	1 brother
Mysore		
2. Sreenivasa Ranga-Char — 34 VI	Alienee grandson	1 wife
Hossur		
3 Gurrow — 22 VI.	grandsons alience	1 wife
Mysore		
4. Rungacharry 35 VI.	Grandson of No 4 in Column 14	1 wife

of subsequent and present holders, Length of possession

the Inam has been sub-divided, the name &c. of

If unconnected with the original holders. possession how obtained, and in what year.

18

His father Rungachar purchased this and a house at Mysore for Pagodas 400/ from No 2 in Col.n 14. Stamp deed dated 6th November 1848 is produced. This Vritti is under Mortgage to Vadanta Ramanyachar for Rupees 1500/ for the last about 4 years.

Grand father of No 3 purchased one Vritti for Rupees 1800/ from the sons of No 3 in Column 14 Stamp deed dated 2nd June 1862 is produced.

No 4 first mortgaged his vritti to one Kuppum Venkatrayachetty for Rupees 1120/ Vide Stamp deed dated in August 1841 produced. The said Chetty's brothers sub mortgaged it to Sreenivasa iyengar the agent of Venkatachari for the same amount on 28th May 1857. Plain deed is produced on which penalty of stamp levied and forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner for certificate under Act IX of 1869 P J C

Gurmitkadagiri

5.	Annah char	42	Gr Sh. 5 in Column 14	1 wife
	VI			

Casaba Shr

6.	Shama jois	48	Son of 6 in column 14	1 wife 1 Daughter
	VI.			

Sreerangapatna

7	Subbamma	40	Daughter in law of Sh. 7 in Col. 14.	Nil
	VI.			

Jatawara Chikka Ballapur Taluk

8.	Vadanta	21	Gra of Sh. 8 in Col. 14	1 wife
	VI.			

Bangalore

9.	Kumbhum Sreer Raghavachar alias Shamaiengar	32	Son Sh. 9 in column 14	1 wife

The said Venkata Iyer again mortgaged it to
Sr Gopaliah for the same amount on 7th May
1852 Claim deed is produced and penalty of -
stamp levied and forwarded to the Deputy
Commissioner for certificate with this Office
No 288 dated 7th November 1878.

He has mortgaged this to one Pettambriah
for Rupees 1500/ on 19th January 1868. Stamp
deed is produced.

She has mortgaged this for Rupees 1200/ to one
Arvete Krishnasetty on 29th March 1869.
Stamp deed is produced, She denies of having
received any consideration and states that
the document was executed by fr and.

He has mortgaged this for Rupees 1400/ to
Sethur Ramanuja Iengar on 12th October 1864
Stamp deed is produced.

Mysore

10. Ouk Rungachar 45 Sou 2 ks. 10 in c 14
VI.

Insulur Cloupet J

Kudur

12. Venkatacha
&
13. Ramanjachar 28 12 in column 12 1 Dc.
VI.

Malakote Attikuppa
Taluk.

14 Narsinha Raghu 6 Sou 2 ks 1 in co 14 3 sous
VI

ined

This was mortgaged for Rupees 800/ to one Setta Gopa Ramanja iengar on 10th February 1863 stamp deed is produced.

This was again mortgaged to one Sethur Singalachar in addition to the above mortgage for Rupees 300/ in 1864. Plain deed is produced on which penalty will be levied.

No 11 has mortgaged his vritti for Rupees 620/ to Setta Gopa Ramanja Iengar on 18th November 1865. Stamp deed is produced.

The agent of the mortgagers' heir note this mortgage transaction in full and said that he does not know anything was paid in liquidation thereof.

The mortgager took objection to this and asserted that he has already paid a major portion of the amount and the balance will be paid and account closed. On my enquiry this assertion was found to be correct.

This was mortgaged for Rupees 300/ to late Bukshi Sing Iengar from No 12/ stamp deed dated 20th July 1864 is produced.

1/2 of the amount was paid and noted on the document.

15	16	17
Bangalore		
15. Venkat Ranga Iyengar. 53 V3	Alienee	3 Sons. 2 Brother
Mysore		
16 Rungachar 28 VI.	Son of No 15 in Column 14	1 wife 1 brother 1 do's widow
Bangalore		
17 B. Ramaswami Iyengar 38 VI.	Son of alienee	1 wife
Madamangala Siddapatta Taluk		
18 Narsimhachar 45 1 1/2	Brother of No 18	1 wife
19 Rungachar 19 1 1/2	do son	1 do 1

He purchased ½ of the Vritti of Rc 14 in column 14 from his son for Rupees 700/ in 1861 stamp deed is produced. The other ½ was obtained by mortgaged and the transaction was foreclosed. Vide original Suit No. 192 of 1875 on the file of the Jndl. Assistant Commissioner's Court of the Bangalore District.

Vritti 1 was purchased by his father from 1750/ from Rc 16 in Column 14 on 19th Novem 65 stamp deed is produced.

Vritti 1 was likewise purchased by his father for Rupees 1800/ from Rc 20/ in Column 14 stamp deed is produced.

This is under mortgage to one Ramaswami Iyengar for Rupees 1170/ vide decree in original Suit No. 547 of 1870/ on the Bangalore District Jndl. Assistant's Court.

His father purchased this from Sita bai widow of Rc 17 in Column 14 in 1865 for Rupees 1800/ stamp deed is produced.

Nos 18 & 19. They have mortgaged their Vriti on 4 occasions from 1863 to 1867 to the late Bukshi Linga Iyengar for Rupees 1600/ stamp deeds are produced.

Ketanda putti
Tirpattoor Taluk
Her Majestys Territ

20.	Raghunatha Chakara Vurtigalu	34	Son of No 19 in Column 14	1 wife
	Mysore			
21.	Bukshi Hursappa	75	Alone	1 wife 1 Daughter 1 Sons widow
	Hunsi Talkad Taluk			
22.	Narsimhachar	53	Son of No 22 in Column 14	2 Sons 2 brothers
	Chennapatna			
23.	Venkatramanachar alias Appannachar 4 77		alone Son	1 wife
	Arur. ChamaRajanagar Taluk.			
24	Ramagois 17.	18	Grandson of No 24 in Col. 14	1 Brother
	Total Vrittees 24			
25	Sree Ramadevar Pagodas 48—		a	

This is under Mortgage to Cuttegouda for Rupees 1500/ stamp deed dated 6th July 1876 is produced.

He purchased this for Rupees 1560/ from the Son of No 21 in Column 14 on 15th May 1861. Stamp deed is produced.

This is under mortgage for Rupees 500/ to Cuttegouda. Vide stamp deed dated 31st October 1874 produced.

His father purchased this for Rupees 400/ on 5th December 1848 from Alasingrachary who had purchased the same from the Jaridar's son for Pagodas 400/ on 17th September 1848 stamp deed is produced.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Chief Commissioner or the Commissioner of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation.

19.

Notice served on the agent on 21st May 1877, and seventeen nurties attached.

22-5-77. /Inst/ Syed Hasanossein
Assistant.

1. Whole village and grant, Valid.
2. This is the biggest Agrahar in the Taluk granted by His late Highness the Maharajah in the name of his late mother Kempa Ranjamma and an Agrahar after her name (Kempa Ranjamba) was found in Mysore for the location of the Agrahardars. In the Heroop quoted in Column 11 to the address of the Amildar no mention of the power of alienation was made, but in the Vitti-war Sannuds granted by His Highness full power of alienation was given, hence this magnificient grant which would have being granted at a larger sum of Fuit Rent to the Exchequer will have to be confirmed free. The Heroop to the Amildar, and one of the Virtavar sannuds are herewith forwarded for perusal. A Sannud to the Address of Jois Sungala-Char is also submitted to shew that he was authorized to exercise certain authority in the village and to Conduct certain

certain service in the temple of Ramadevar annually, but I reject to say that the object of the grantee was not fulfilled. The temple is not in a condition as it ought to be and the amount allotted for the temple, I should say without hesitation has been mis-appropriated. The entrance Goparum has already fallen down and the temple itself in course of a few years more, will share the same fate. The Deputy Commissioner may be requested to see the temple restored to its former statue through the Manager. The temple enjoys 48 Pagodas out of the Revenue of the village as authorized by the late Highness.

The Agrahardars have been allowed to enjoy all items but sandal in the village.

This is subject to all cesses which may be imposed by the local Government from time to time.

Most of the Vrittidars have expressed their willingness to have a detailed survey to this grant.

Necessary remarks have been written against each vriti

Camp Bramya
December 1879.

[Signed] Syed Hussuroodeen
Assistant

Note.

A Independent Inams viz

1. Devadanya — 2.
2 Personal — 15
3 Kerebande — 1
4. Pallegar — 1
5. Shanbogue — 1
6. Zoti — 1
7. Talwar — 1
8. Neeragantee — 1

B Items enjoyed

1. Land Revenue
2. Kohatarfa
3. Chuttoy
4. Forest except sandle
5. Sayer
6. Date and Polmirah trees

C. Statistics.

1 Asli village 1
2 Dakhalies — 4 viz

1. Chennenke gowda Doddi 4
2. Vale Doddi
3. Inuode gowdana Doddi
4. Inulle gowdana Doddi

3. Larger tank — 1
4. Kuttes — 3
5 Pond — 1

Columns 19 Continued

6. Stone built wells — 2
7. Yatum wells — 16
8. Pot wells — 32
9. Immatapums — 2
10 Kumra made — 1
11 Male — 2
12 Tiled houses 137 Thatched 105 242
13. Population men 446 Boys 136 women 419 Girls 156 } 1157
14. Cattle — 655

D Boundaries are to be fixed by the Survey Department

[Signed] Syed Rasurooden
Assistant

Review by the personal Assistant and —
Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner

20.

The Assistant has not sent the vrittivar
Sammd, nor that of Jois Singalachar
The Assistant will report whether all
the Virttidars possess similar samm
He will send up all the sammds of all
the vrittis for my inspection.

(Sd) Robt. Cole
Supt. of Inams Settlt.

3-2-79

Drafted
No 159 dated 4-2-79.

2. The Sammds were retained here
by a mistake which are here with
submitted together with other —
available vrittivar sammds.
All the vrittidars were furnished
each with samm as noted by me
in Column 4, but some of them were
not produced and a few were —
returned to the parties. Orders and
all were drawn up in the same —
style and language but with a —
difference

5 Hours —.

Column 20 Continued

difference of the grantees name in each case.

[signed] Syed Nasrooddeen
Assistant.

Tranoya
7-2-79

3. I have examined the Sanads. They are all of the same description and the powers of alienation are fully conveyed.

4. This village is confirmed free but subject to all Local Fund and Irrigation Cesses.

5 The rights to Sayer and to the Toddy Revenue are conceded.

6. The rights to the items of Arrack and Sandal are reserved, and all other rights to forests are conceded.

7. A detailed survey is desired. The Assistant informs me in person that all who attended the survey desired a detail survey, and a separate marking off of each share, intimate this to the Survey Superintendent.

An extract of the latter part of para 2 of the Assistant's remarks in Colum

19.

Column

19 to be sent to the Deputy Commissioner)

(Signed) Robt Cole
Supt. of Enamsetts

Camp at Malavalli
26th February 1879

+ A docket prepared & submitted to Mr. Syed Nasuroodeen No. dated 6-5-79.

To Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
No. 82 dated 19th May
1879.

Read Petition Nos. 980 & 1240 from Pensioned Amil Venkatramiengar etc he was in duty as Amil, and no individual notice was served his writtics may be released +
abiiiito.

(Signed) Robt Cole
Supt. of Enamsetts

1-3-80

Revised as per the Government Proceedings No. 14223-5 / P 7100-93 dated 17th February 1894.

Vide the detailed survey statements received

20 Continued

Received with the Survey Superintendent's
yadi No. 500 dated 3rd October 1899.

	A. G.	Rs. a. P
Total area and Assessment	1522-21	4157-8-0
Deduct for 22 Inam	190-18	615-8-0
Total	1332-3	3542-0-0

Particulars.

Inculturable	402.33	12-0-0
Dry Cultivated	350.0	425-12-0
Wet Cultivated	341.34	2166-8-0
Garden Cultivated	237.16	937-12-0
Total	1332.3	3542-0-0

Finally Confirmed free of tax.

(Signed) J. P. Grant.
Supt. of Inam settlt.

Dy 22-3-1901

Compd
Bangalore
dated 21st November
1901

Copy"

J Grant
Supt. of Inam settlt.

Read :— SLG

Petition No 345 dated 19th August 1898 from Mr K. Shamiengar, Executor to the Estate of the late Swamidoss S. Venkataramanjulu stating that the services in the Sri Rama Devara Temple at Sowramangalam Village of Kandhur in the Chingleput Taluk, are being much neglected owing to the indifference of the Vrittidars of the Village Temple and praying that the Village and its endowments may be taken over under the direct management of the Inspection Department.

And Correspondence

Subd to the Dewan for appl.
As this draft gives all the particulars of the case, a detailed Office Note has not been submitted.
18/5/03

20/5/03 The Dewan has approved.
Issue -
Print
25/5/03

Docket no 37 dated 21st Nov 1902,
forwarding a copy of the
Settlement Register relating
to the grant of the Village
as Swamanayam.

Order:- No 2379-88. Mem. F.No. 25-1903

The Kandian Agreement
in the Chilaw District
was granted as Swamanayam
by H. H. the late Sri Wickrama
Krishnaraja Wodiyar Bahadur III,
in 1827, to 24 Vitthidaru.
The Sannad relating to the
grant directs that, out
of the total income of the
Village, a sum of 48 C. Fanams
or Rs 140, should be remitted
annually and utilized
towards

towards the benefit of the Sri Rama Devaru Temple, situated in the said Sowramangalam Village, the particulars whereof to be mentioned for the said amount being also found shown in the Schedule.

2. In the Petition read above, one K. Thimmiah Executor to the Estate of one of the Applicants herein, Venkataramanjiengar), brought to the notice of Government, that the services in the Temple were being much neglected, owing to the indifference of the Trustees of the Village, and prayed therefore that the Temple and its endowments

direct management of
the Inam Department.

3. From the local enquiry
it is observed that the
temple is endowed with
a Cash Sanad of Rs 11-10-0
a year, in addition to the
Cash allowance of Rs 140
payable from the Income
of the Inam-
-mangam village, as directed
in the Sanad, referred
to above. It is also ascertained
that this Institution is
endowed with the marginal -
noted Inam lands in
the Same Village, yielding
an annual income of Rs
~~204-0~~ 52-6-10. Thus, the
total annual income of the temple
from all the sources,
noted above, is

S.no.	A. G	Assts.
14.	1 — 16	5-8-0
15.	4 — 16	5-8-0
36.	0 — 11	1-4-0
37.	0 — 29	3-8-0
465.	0 — 29	5-0-0
546.	0 — 35	1-0-0
514.	1 — 30	5-8-0
479	0 — 11	0-4-0
478.	0 — 39	3-8-0
1	0 — 12	0-8-0
2	0 — 8	0-12-0
7	0 — 35	4-8-0
Total	9 — 31	

is Rs 204-4-10.

4. 20 out of the 24 Vrittidars of the Village have signified their consent to the management of the temple and its endowments being taken over by the Mysore Dept, as proposed by Mr K. Shamiengar in his petition noted above. It is reported that the Views of the Head the other four Vrittidars could not be ascertained, as they are living in Madras and other places, and as the notices issued on them have not yet been returned. The Dy Comr supports the prayer of the 20 Vrittidars and recommends that the management of the Trust and its endowments be taken over under the direct management of the Mysore Dept

be made to the Sum of Rs 140 assigned to the temple from the income of the Inam villages, through the local authorities, in the same manner as Local Fund and Irrigation Cesses are collected.

5. The Dy Comr's recommendation is approved and he is requested to issue needful instructions in the matter to the Amildar of the Channapatna Taluk.

6. Detailed proposals as regards the heads of Expenditure in the Justn, should be submitted in due course, for the approval of Government.

7. From the reports of the

the local officers, [illegible] with the Dy Comrs's letter under disposal, it is understood that this Temple is not at present under the management of the Mangrol Department, the reason being probably either that it is situated in an Inamvillage, or

that it is endowed with a small Cash Jaedika and from this connection, I am [illegible] to draw the attention of the Dy Commissioner to Govt Reso No 223-30 dated Mang. St 11-96 6th August 1896, laying down that Temples endowed with Cash or Land Inams all wherever situated, are [illegible] to the Control of the Mangrol Deptt

Department, Bhaavanaru

Sivaaganaa.

Adl-

Secretary

Mysore Dept

0 1910

20.V.03

Ls

Recd from Sft on 25/5/03

To

The Dy Commr Bangalore

Dl, returning the records

The Inam Supt-in Mysore -

The Dy Comrs of other

Dts and the Palace

Controller, for information

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 30th May 1903

No. 2379-88
Muz. F 25-02

Directing that the Sri Rama Devaru temple in the Kudlur Sarvamanyam village, Channapatna Taluk, and its endowments be taken over under the direct management of Government in the Muzrai Department.

SBP—10-6-03—200

Muzrai, dated 30th May 1903.

5. The Deputy Commissioner's recommendation is approved, and he is requested to issue needful instructions in the matter, to the Amildar of the Channapatna Taluk.

6. Detailed proposals as regards the scale of expenditure in the Institution should be submitted in due course, for the approval of Government.

7. From the reports of the local officers, submitted with the Deputy Commissioner's letter under disposal, it is understood that this temple is not at present under the management of the Muzrai Department, the reason being probably either that it is situated in an Inam village, or that it is endowed with a small cash Tasdik and some Inam lands. In this connection, Government wish to draw the attention of the Deputy Commissioner to Government Proceedings No. 223-30—Muz. F. 11-96, dated 6th August 1896, laying down that temples endowed with cash or land Inam, wherever situated, are all subject to the control of the Muzrai Department.

Deputy Secretary, Muzrai Department.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, returning the records.
The Inam Superintendent in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.
The Palace Controller, Mysore, for information

READ—

Petition No 345, dated 19th August 1898, from M. K Shamiengar, executor to the estate of the late Inamdar S Venkatranga Iyengar, stating that the services in the Sri Rama Devaru temple at Sarvamanyam village of Kudlur in the Channapatna Taluk, are being much neglected owing to the indifference of the vrittidars of the village and praying that the temple and its endowments be taken over under the direct management of the Muzrai Department.

AND—

Correspondence ending with the Inam Superintendent's docket No 37, dated 21st November 1902, forwarding a copy of the Settlement Register relating to the grant of the village as Sarvamanyam.

No. 2379-88—MUZ. F. 25-1902, DATED BANGALORE, 30TH MAY 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The Kudlur Agrahar in the Channapatna Taluk was granted as Sarvamanyam by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur III, in 1827, to 24 vrittidars. The sannad relating to the grant directs that out of the total income of the village, a sum of 48 C. Pagodas or Rs. 140 should be reserved annually and utilized towards the benefit of the Sri Rama Devaru temple situated in the said Sarvamanyam village, the particular services to be rendered for the said amount being also laid down in the sannad.

2. In the petition read above, Mr. K. Shamiengar, executor to the estate of one of the Agrahar Inamdars (S.Venkatranga Iyengar) brought to the notice of Government that the services in the temple were being much neglected, owing to the indifference of the vrittidars of the village, and prayed therefore that the temple and its endowments be taken over under the direct management of the Muzrai Department.

3. From the local enquiry it is observed that the temple is endowed with a cash Tasdik of Rs. 11-10-0 a year, in addition to the cash allowance of Rs 140, payable from the income of the Sarvamanyam village, as directed in the sannad referred to above. It is also ascertained that this Institution is endowed with the marginally noted minor Inam lands in the same village yielding an annual income of Rs. 52-6-10. Thus, the annual total income of the temple from all the sources noted above is Rs. 201-0-10.

Survey No.		Extent A	g	Assessment Rs	a	p.
14	..	1	16	5	8	0
15	..	4	16	5	8	0
36		0	11	1	4	0
37		0	29	0	8	0
465	..	0	29	5	0	0
546		0	35	1	0	0
514	...	1	30	5	8	0
479	...	0	11	0	4	0
478	..	0	39	3	8	0
1		0	12	0	8	0
2	.	0	8	0	12	0
7	.	0	25	4	8	0
Total		12	31	36	12	0

4. Twenty out of the 24 vrittidars of the village have signified their consent to the management of the temple and its endowments being taken over by the Muzrai Department, as proposed by Mr K. Shamengar in his petition read above. It is reported that the views of the other four vrittidars could not be ascertained as they are living in Madras and other places and as the notices served on them have not yet been returned. The Deputy Commissioner supports the prayer of the 20 vrittidars and recommends that the management of the Institution and its endowments be taken over under the direct management of the Muzrai Department and that arrangements be made to levy the annual sum of Rs. 140 assigned to the temple from the income of the Inam villages, through the local authorities, in the same manner as Local Fund and Irrigation cesses are collected.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19 Sl/10

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy Commr
Bangalore &c-

Dated

Despatched 12th January 1904

Received

General No. 1992 & 1993
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1

In continuation
of Govt Endrs no 2379-88
Muzrai 25-02
dated 30th May 1903,
directing that the
Ramanadhapura temple
in the Kadabur Agraharam,
Chikmagalur Taluk
& its endowments be
taken over under the
direct management of
the Muzrai

MGCPB—1,000 -21 7-03.

Puty Regt no 1240

dated 23rd December 1903

from some of the residents

of the Agraharam, complaining

that the services in the

temple are not being properly

attended to since it was the Trustee

taken over by Govt. The

Dy Comr is requested

to issue early instructions

to the Auildar in the matter

of the regular performance

of puja &c.

2. His attention is also

invited to para 6 of the

Govt: referred to above

with the observation that the early submission of

the proposals as regards the

scale of expenditure in the

Trustee is awaited.

Dfd
6/1/04

12/1/04

Dy Secy

Asst Secy



SR. 11

1903/04

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To The Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Mujrai Deptt

Dated 13th January.
Despatched 16th
Received

General No.
S. No. 603
Enclosure No. One file

Govt Pro: No 2379-88 of
Mujs 725-1902

30th May 1903.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit for the orders of Government the annual detailed proposals as regards the scale of expenditure in the Sri Ramadevaru Temple at Kudlu in the Jhemmahalli

In Civil

Repeat
Ks
16/1/04

Ks
20/1/04

Memofile

Deputy Commissioner's office
Bangalore 13th January 04.

From

H. H. Sparkes Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To

L. Anantasamy Rao Esqr B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to para 6 of Government Proceedings No 2379-88 Muz 725-1902 dated 30th Dec 1903, calling for detailed proposals as regards the scale of expenditure in the Sri Ramadevaru temple at Kudlur in the Channapatna Taluk, I have the honor to append in the margin a statement showing the scale of expenditure in the temple for approval of Government. Besides this, devotees and Inamdars conduct car festival, other utsavams and sevas at a cost of Rs. 243-12-0 as detailed in the statement enclosed

1. Pay of the Cook Krishnaiyer	24-0-0
2. Pay of the Vakil Tuppa Bhatta	4-8-0
3. Pay of the Guard Subbanna	12-0-0
4. Dhanlaye	3-8-0
5. Dhanvante Dasara	1-2-0
6. Monthly Perusal ceremonies on important days	45-4-0
	75-0-0
7. Pay of the Cook Krishnaiyer	22-8-0
8. Pay of the Vakil Tuppa Bhatta	8-4-0
9. Pay of the Guard Subbanna	24-0-0
10. Dhanvante Dasara	15-0-0
11. Monthly Perusal ceremonies on important days	45-4-0
	75-0-0

enclosed with the Auditor's Report No 143 dated 8th September 1903.

The vernacular correspondence connected with this are, herewith, submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Manager Mail Services
for Deputy Commissioner.

SC 12

1903/04

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To The Dy Secy to the Govt
Mysore Jamboo
Dept

Dated 18th Jan 1904

Despatched 19th Jan 1904.

Received

General No
S No. 609
Enclosure No.

Dy Secy's docket No 1992 of
12th Jan 1904.

State that Street orders
have been issued to the Asst of
Channapatna to arrange for the
regular performance of puja &c
in the Sri Ramadevaru temple
in the Kuthe Agrahar Channapatna

MYSORE GOVT
425

Refer
Ds
19/1/04

09

Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore 18th January 1804

From,

H H Sparke Esq
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

L Anandasami Rao Esq BA.
Dy Seer to the Court of
Mysore
Mysore Dept

Sir,

With reference to
your docket no 1992 dated 12th
Instant, forwarding a petition from
the residents of the Kusha Agrahara
in the Channapatna Taluk, Complaining
that the services in the Sri Ramadevaru
temple at the said agrahara are not
properly attended to, I have the honor
to

to state that strict orders have been issued to the Amildar of Themakaturu Taluk to arrange for the regular performance of puja, &c, in the temple

2. Proposals as regards the scale of expenditure in the Sri Ramdevaru temple were submitted for approval with this office letter No 603 dated 13th January last

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Narasinga Rao Pantulu

READ—

Petition No 345, dated 19th August 1898, from Mr. K. Shamiengar, executor to the estate of the late Inamdar S. Venkatranga Iyengar, stating that the services in the Sri Rama Devaru temple at Sarvamanyam village of Kudlur in the Channapatna Taluk, are being much neglected owing to the indifference of the vrittidars of the village and praying that the temple and its endowments be taken over under the direct management of the Muzrai Department.

AND—

Correspondence ending with the Inam Superintendent's docket No. 37, dated 21st November 1902, forwarding a copy of the Settlement Register relating to the grant of the village as Sarvamanyam.

No. 2379-88—MUZ. F. 25-1902, DATED BANGALORE, 30TH MAY 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The Kudlur Agrahar in the Channapatna Taluk was granted as Sarvamanyam by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur III, in 1827, to 24 vrittidars. The sanad relating to the grant directs that out of the total income of the village, a sum of 48 C. Pagodas or Rs. 140 should be reserved annually and utilized towards the benefit of the Sri Rama Devaru temple situated in the said Sarvamanyam village, the particular services to be rendered for the said amount being also laid down in the sanad.

2. In the petition read above, Mr. K. Shamiengar, executor to the estate of one of the Agrahar Inamdars (S. Venkatranga Iyengar) brought to the notice of Government that the services in the temple were being much neglected, owing to the indifference of the vrittidars of the village, and prayed therefore that the temple and its endowments be taken over under the direct management of the Muzrai Department.

3. From the local enquiry it is observed that the temple is endowed with a cash Tasdik of Rs. 11-10-0 a year, in addition to the cash allowance of Rs. 140, payable from the income of the Sarvamanyam village, as directed in the sanad referred to above. It is also ascertained that this Institution is endowed with the marginally noted minor Inam lands in the same village yielding an annual income of Rs. 52-6-10. Thus, the annual total income of the temple from all the sources noted above is Rs. 204-0-10.

Survey No.		Extent A.	g.	Assessment. Rs.	a	p.
14	...	1	16	5	8	0
15	...	4	16	5	8	0
36	..	0	11	1	1	0
37	.	0	29	3	8	0
485	...	0	29	5	0	0
546	...	0	35	1	0	0
514	...	1	30	5	8	0
479	...	0	11	0	4	0
478	...	0	39	3	8	0
1	...	0	12	0	8	0
2	...	0	8	0	12	0
7	...	0	35	4	8	0
Total		12	31	36	12	0

4. Twenty out of the 24 vrittidars of the village have signified their consent to the management of the temple and its endowments being taken over by the Muzrai Department, as proposed by Mr. K. Shamiengar in his petition read above. It is reported that the views of the other four vrittidars could not be ascertained as they are living in Madras and other places and as the notices served on them have not yet been returned. The Deputy Commissioner supports the prayer of the 20 vrittidars and recommends that the management of the Institution and its endowments be taken over under the direct management of the Muzrai Department and that arrangements be made to levy the annual sum of Rs. 140 assigned to the temple from the income of the Inam villages, through the local authorities, in the same manner as Local Fund and Irrigation cesses are collected.

5. The Deputy Commissioner's recommendation is approved, and he is requested to issue needful instructions in the matter, to the Amildar of the Channapatna Taluk.

6. Detailed proposals as regards the scale of expenditure in the Institution should be submitted in due course, for the approval of Government.

7. From the reports of the local officers, submitted with the Deputy Commissioner's letter under disposal, it is understood that this temple is not at present under the management of the Muzrai Department, the reason being probably either that it is situated in an Inam village, or that it is endowed with a small cash Tasdik and some Inam lands. In this connection, Government wish to draw the attention of the Deputy Commissioner to Government Proceedings No. 223-30—Muz. F. 11-96, dated 6th August 1896, laying down that temples endowed with cash or land Inam, wherever situated, are all subject to the control of the Muzrai Department.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Deputy Secretary, Muzrai Department.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, returning the records.
The Inam Superintendent in Mysore
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts
The Palace Controller, Mysore, for information

Exd. – C.V.

Note continued

As directed in para 6 above, the Deputy Comm
1.31.04 has submitted a statement shewing the scale of
as noted below for the approval of Govern

Rice for Paditara purpose ...	45–4––
oil for Dipara thane	22–8––
Camphor etc ...	8–4––
Pay of the Archak Narasimhacha...	24––––
" " Paichaka Ananthaiya ...	15––––
" " Cook Krishnaiya ...	24––––
" " Tulasi Subba Bhatta ...	4–8––
" " Sesha Giriacha ...	12––––
" " Shanbhogue	3–8––
" " Darane Javara	1–2––
Monthly Poojas and Ceremonies on important days	45–4––

and the total annual income of the temple stated to amount to same as particularized below:-

Rs 11-10-"	Annual Cash Jasdik
" 140-"-"	income from the Sarvamanya village-
" 53-8-"	" " " the minor Inam lands
	[Rs 1-1-2 in excess of what is mentioned in the Govt Proceedings]
Total " 205-2-"	

The Deputy Commissioner also states that the devotees and Inamdars conduct the car festival and other utsavams at a cost of Rs 243-12-" as follows

Rs 24-"-"	Kotarotsavam
" 179-12-"	Car festival
" 40-"-"	Masakatte Sevas
" 243-12-"	

Petition Registered as No 1240 dated 23.12.03 from the residents of Kudlur Agrahar, complaining that the services in the temple are not properly conducted since the temple was taken under Govt management, having been received in this office, the Deputy Commissioner was requested to instruct the Amildar to see to the regular performance of the puja services in the temple.

No 1992 d: 12.1.04

No 609 d: 18.1.04

The Dy Comr states in reply that direct orders have been issued to the Amildar, Channapatna Taluk to arrange for the regular performance of puja etc in the temple.

Ab2

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190 Sl 13

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy Commr
Bangalore District

Dated 8th

Despatched February 1904

Received

General No. 2306
Muzrai F. No. 25-02

Enclosure No.

His letter No 603 dated 13th January 1904 submitting a statement showing the proposed scale of expenditure in the Rameshwara temple in the Channapatna Taluk.

Has the honour to ~~State~~ Herewith the statement of expenditure now submitted seems to them the existing scale

Scale required by
Govt. for Rs no
2379, dated 30th
May 1903. It is further
observed that an expenditure
of Rs 205-2-0 per annum,
which is equal to the whole of the income
of the Institution, is shown
in the statement, without
leaving any savings towards
the repairs and other
incidental charges — The
statement and other
enclosures are therefore
herewith returned, with
request that the Dy
Comrs will be so good
as to submit this proposals in the form of Statement B
as per Kannada forms annexed — The proposed expenditure
should have some savings annually for repairs.

2. The existing arrangements
as regards puja and
other services in the temple

need not however be disturbed, and the question of fixing a new scale is finally disposed of by Govt.

DBL 5/2/04

ks 5/2/04

B

5/2/04

No 3128 40
Mucl-F.25 Vol 312 G

MYSORE ~~DEWAN'S OFFICE~~ Secretariat.
MUZRAI ~~DEPARTMENT~~. SLG

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 2108
dated 7th March 1904 received at the
~~Dewan's Office~~ Muzrai Secretariat from Patel Anthappa
of Sarvamangalam Hindlur
Channapatna Taluk, praying
that the repairs to the Car of
the Sri Kodandaramaswami
Temple ~~should be attended to~~ may be done
early, as the Car-festival is
~~is forwarded, together with its~~ ~~enclosures, to the~~ ~~2nd inst~~ is fast
~~approaching and that~~
~~the Taluk authorities should~~
~~be directed to be present~~
~~at the Car-festival~~ is forwarded
to the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt., for disposal
in continuation of this office
docket No. 2306 dated 8th
February 1904.

By Order,

26-3-04

BANGALORE, 3-3-1904.

~~Deputy~~ Secretary to Govt
Muzrai Department.

Enclosure

15/3/04